

THE FOLLOWING ARE OPINIONS AND AN ANALYSIS OF THE SETH RICH CASE, AND HOW THE CLINTON CAMPAIGN WAS ABLE TO KEEP SURVEILLANCE ON THE TRUMP CAMPAIGN AND MEMBERS OF THE DNC THAT COULD POTENTIALLY BETRAY THEM. THIS REPORT IS ISSUED WITH THE GREATEST RESPECT FOR THE PARENTS OF SETH RICH.

ANALYSIS FOR BLUNT FORCE TRUTH BY INFOSECURITY ANALYST / MICHAEL GUY.

WARNING – THIS REPORT CONTAINS BIG SCARY COMPUTER WORDS

DNC EMAIL LEAKS – DNC NATIONAL VOTER DATA DIRECTOR SHOT DEAD



SETH RICH – CASE ANALYSIS

It is 4:19 AM ET early morning July 10, 2016 in Washington DC. 681,170 DC residents make it home safely that evening. This would be the last walk home for Seth Conrad Rich who is the National Voter Data Director for the Democratic National Committee. Seth is walking home from Lou's Bar, a place he frequented, and he had just hung up the phone with his then girlfriend when he is attacked by 2 assailants. Seth is severely beaten and shot twice in the back within a few hundred feet of his home. Rich is then transported to the hospital and pronounced dead approximately 2 hours after the shooting.

Being so quick to solve a still unsolved crime, the DC police determine within hours that it was a botched robbery, but nothing had been taken except a USB drive. To prudently argue the point, it is also irrelevant whether the USB drive was taken from SETH by the attackers. What is relevant is once the drive was demanded, Seth would know the source of the attack.

TOO CLOSE TO HOME FOR A RANDOM ATTACK

The target kill zone just happened to be within a few hundred feet from Seth Rich's apartment making the random botched robbery theory mathematically remote. Remember, you have random AND botched in the equation already and now you must factor in the GEO location and when you overlay these 3 factors the DC police commits too within hours, it is hard to back-peddle.

It is very important WHERE a homicide occurs when addressing targeted crimes v random crimes.

- a. Wide radius = Possibility of random expands with GEO radius expansion
- b. Front Step of your house = Factors in to less likely random

Then statistical data is applied as an overlay to the basic fact pattern. And that is where the fog randomness begins to dissipate.

1. How many attacks followed by a shooting in the area? 0
2. How many shootings from 2 suspects in the area? 0
3. How many shootings in the area following a severe beating? 0
4. How many shootings in the area had pissed off the DNC in an election? 1
5. How many shootings in the area had a personal offer from Hillary Clinton after being surveilled by the DNC and suspected of leaking sensitive material to the PRESS? 1
6. How many suspected leakers were offered jobs just days prior to being shot near the front steps of their home? 1

PROBLEMS AT WORK HONEY?

It has been widely reported and accepted that Seth Rich was a Bernie Sanders supporter and witness to his employer the DNC (under direction of the Hillary campaign) rigging the Presidential Primary election against Bernie Sanders. This whole mess saw the resignation of Debbie Wasserman Shultz and subsequent confession of Donna Brazile and admission of Elizabeth Warren on network television that the primaries were rigged against Bernie Sanders.

JUST A STAFFER

Seth Rich was the Director of National Voter Data at the DNC. The "just a janitor" or "just a staffer" is a common tactic used in criminal defense.

CLINTON CAMPAIGN RUNNING THE DNC AT THE TIME OF THE MURDER

It has been widely reported that the DNC was in financial ruin and that the Hillary Clinton campaign had moved into the DNC as part of a financial bailout deal between the DNC and Clinton campaign in exchange for the Clinton campaign putting its operatives inside the DNC to manage day to day operations.

A HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT

Any time a buyout of a company occurs there is inevitable hostility. The Clinton team moved in and with them they brought in new controls. The work environment produced dissent, and more importantly produced a handful of people who had campaigned and favored one candidate over another who now felt cheated that the process was being rigged.

When people say SETH RICH was a disgruntled Bernie supporter, it is both non-intelligent finding and insulting to the intelligence of individuals crowd sourcing the investigation of this crime.

Seth Rich and others were an inevitable bi-product of:

1. The Clinton campaign buying control of the DNC while the primary process was still ongoing.
2. The DNC/CLINTON CAMPAIGN cheating candidate Bernie Sanders.

HOW DID THE DNC CHEAT?

1. The fight was over access of voter call out data.
2. The purging of Bernie Voters
3. The moving of polling places in the Primaries
4. Other (Debate Questions and other cheating not known at that time to SETH RICH.)

SETH RICH TOP SECRET SPY?

Not really. Seth Rich knew that DNC access to VOTER and more importantly DONOR databases was tilted in Clintons favor. He just opened the DATABASE up to Sanders and Uretsky quickly breeched and copied the data.

Seth did small things to insure the integrity of the process. These would be small acts of kindness and in the interest of helping his candidate gain equal access and certainly did not start out as a drop box gift to WikiLeaks.

Indeed, this rivalry and dissent did exist within the DNC and came to a head with the booing of Debbie Wasserman Shultz and walkout of screaming Bernie Sanders delegates. This very real hostile climate at the DNC and people is under described in this report as we opt for brevity.

COULD SETH RICH DEATH RELATE TO HIS WORK AT THE DNC?

Motive

1. Did Seth Rich have knowledge of the rigging of the Primaries by the DNC? Yes
2. Was Seth Rich a Bernie Sanders Supporter? Yes
3. Was the fight over Data cheating? Yes
4. Was Seth Rich the Data director? Yes
5. Did this cheating directly effect a personal cause for Seth Rich? Yes
6. Was Seth Rich a loose end for Crowd Strike if they fabricated the report? Yes
7. During all this. Did Seth Rich's drinking increase? It appears so.

CURVEBALL – NO MENTION OF RUSSIA IN EMAILS LEAKED – REALLY?

What is shocking and has not been reported is there is no mention in the entire leaked archive of Russian hacking or any hacking at all. This lack of mention in the Wikileaks archive points to the pure invention of the Russian Narrative to first explain John Podesta falling for a kid email phishing scam that said, enter your password.

When you take this into consideration, an American leaker from within the DNC sympathetic to Bernie Sanders not only makes logical sense, it is supported by the data in the DNC email archives at Wikileaks and original transport headers.

SETH RICH was not the only DNC operative that was angered by the rigging of the 2016 Presidential Democratic Primary against candidate Bernie Sanders. This was a wide spread PROTEST. We all watched Bernie Sanders delegate protests and walkouts. Clinton constituents booed off stage. Chants of cheating. The Chair if the DNC Debbie Wasserman Shultz, fired and BOOED.

So the labeling of Seth Rich as a lone disgruntled Bernie Sanders fanatic is complete nonsense.

SETH RICH WAS A SUSPECT FOR A LONG TIME

Seth had already been under suspicion since December of 2015 when **Josh Uretsky** of the Bernie Sanders campaign HACKED INTO the DNC DONOR database directly under SETH'S control. Seth's first attempt to help Sanders was a low-level permissions mod (directory permissions "omission") and had some effect, and Sanders begins to gain ground on Clinton. This is the hack that never occurred that put the spotlight on SETH RICH.

Seth's unlikely accomplice JOSH URETSKY bears no relation to SETH RICH, but COMPARE THEIR JOB TITLES. It is important to know the method that SETH RICH used to let the Sanders campaign in to the DNC DATA, unfairly labeled as a Clinton only zone.

1. It was a simple "directory permissions error that allowed equal access to DATA for both campaigns"
2. It was a simple lifting of permissions. Van7 lied when they called it a glitch.

ENTER CROWDSTRIKE – ON "DNC HACK 1" (YUP)

What started out as a simple access to data for both campaigns once the new and excited cyber security company CROWD STRIKE was called in to action. Crowd Strike was in its infancy and seeking funding from primary campaign funder GOOGLE.

This is the proverbial lighter fluid on a "girl scout campfire". Once Crowd Strike was involved, out came the official naming conventions we would begin to know as APT28 and APT29 which would later get a second CROWDSTRIKE PAINTJOB with FANCYBEAR and DANCING BEAR and the CROWD STRIKE circus began.

SANDERS CAMPAIGN CAUGHT HACKING DNC - CROWD STRIKE

The SANDERS CAMPAIGN'S JOSH URETSKY is caught in a HIGHLY PUBLICIZED firing and PUBLIC SANCTION of the BERNIE SANDERS CAMPAIGN and URETSKY is fired from the SANDERS campaign for copying data from the "DNC BIG DONORS" or VAN database.

Bernie Sanders is sanctioned for the "hacking into the DNC", denies it yet fires Uretsky after the DNC locks Bernie Sanders and his campaign out of the DNC VOTER DATABASE. Let that sink in.

DOCUMENTED VOTER DATABASE BREACHES AT THE DNC.

There are 100s of thousands of donors in the database compromised. A HUGE FIGHT IS OCCURRING OVER **DATA SHARING** BETWEEN THE SANDERS AND CLINTON CAMPAIGNS WITH THE DNC AS MODERATOR. (WHOOOPS, THAT IS CONTROLLED BY CLINTON PAYOFF TO THE DNC)

AND ALL OF THIS EQUAL ACCESS AND THE AFOREMENTIONED DATA IS SETH RICH'S ACCESS AND RESPONSIBILITY. The DNC (Which we now know was one and the same with the Clinton Campaign) was watching **SETH RICH**. So was **CROWDSTRIKE**

SO, when PODESTA SAYS HE WANTS TO MAKE AN EXAMPLE OUT OF A LEAKER EVEN IF THERE IS NO REASON, HE MIGHT JUST MEAN THAT SETHS ACCIDENTAL PERMISSIONS ACCIDENT THAT ALLOWED SANDERS CAMPAIGN URETSKY IN WAS DUE TO CLINTON CAMPAIGN ELECTION RIGGING IN THE FIRST PLACE.

DNC UNDER CLINTON CONTROL WAS NOT SHARING DATA EQUALLY AND SETH RICH KNEW WHAT WHY AND HOW AND TOO MUCH ABOUT CROWD STRIKE.

In DNC breach 1 Seth had made an impact for Bernie Sanders. But he will not be killed for that.

ITS COMPLICATED

We must stay focused on Seth's job description, his advocacy for fairness in the process, his support for Bernie Sanders and a disposition created by the CLINTON payoff to the DNC for control as we explore CROWD STRIKE.

It is my opinion that CROWD STRIKE was a hungry start up and that this case could be pivotal in their funding of 100 million dollars from GOOGLE that did complete just after this case and that the DNC hack case could have played a significant role in securing that funding.

If CROWD STRIKE had come back empty handed and without a glamorous story favorable to its client which was the CLINTON CAMPAIGN (OR THE DNC UNDER CONTROL OF THE CLINTON CAMPAIGN) that things would not have turned out so financially rewarding if they had come back with data directors sharing data.

Was CROWD STRIKE under any "pressure to perform" and have this internal DEMOCRAT "C2C" ("candidate to candidate") permissions access turn into a full blown Russian Hacking fairytale?

WHERE DID RUSSIAN HACKERS STORY ORIGINATE?

Crowd Strike had cooked up Russian Hacker narratives before. And we now know that all of the Russian names were simply made up by crowd strike to sound Russian. Combine that with the fact that an Illegal FISA surveillance warrant was in play for Watergate style spying on political opponent Trump, none of the information could be used for anything other than intelligence.

CROWDSTRIKE CAUGHT IN A SECOND RUSSIAN LIE?

Despite the firing of URETSKY, the data remained accessible to Sanders as it was copied by Uretsky and others. Seth Rich and URETSKY had in a small way, helped turned the tides temporarily and SANDERS began to gain momentum and even winning state primary elections using vital DNC resources that should have been accessible to both candidates from the beginning.

The Uretsky incident also made the Sanders Campaign aware of the process being rigged by Clinton and the DNC and the CLINTON PAYOFFS to the DNC for control. Many of us believe Seth then consulted with his brother Aaron, a senior tech at a well-known Cyber Security Firm in competition with CROWD STRIKE. Weather his employer encouraged the CONTACT IS UNCLEAR at this time.

OBSERVATION

(Aware that competitor crowd strike was on board at the DNC case, certainly competitor NG could have advised Aaron to use his influence with brother Seth to embarrass competitor CROWDSTRIKE. After all they had been caught fabricating reports and should some contradicting data be “discovered” it would be a big money opportunity for Seth and brother Aaron and his employer. I am not saying this happened I am just stating the players and their positions.)

AND WE CONTINUE

After the Uretsky leak of data to the Sanders campaign, The DNC does not fire Seth Rich and keeps a watchful eye on him. At that time rogue elements of the FBI had secured an illegal FISA warrant which was used to keep an eye on” inside rouge DNC elements such as Seth Rich, DNC opposition to Clinton (foreign and domestic), Trump et al and YES ---**CROWDSTRIKE**

(which may be used later to keep control over Crowd Strike and the intel agencies. Remember Crowd Strike is a new hungry Cyber Security Start Up and in process of seeking 100 million in funding.)

WHO IS CROWDSTRIKE?

Crowd Strike has been caught faking reports before. It is widely reported that Crowd Strike fabricated portions of both the grizzly steppe and the Ukraine reports. Not much in the way of a cyber security firm, but seems like a company in bed with a lot of figures close to hot water.

Cyber security company CROWD STRIKE came from obscurity to 200 million in funding in 2015 and 2017. 100 million in the first tranche and 100 million in the second tranche in May 2017 on the anniversary of Seth Rich murder. Coincidence? Perhaps.

CAST OF CROWDSTRIKE CHARACTERS?

Sean Henry President CROWDSTRIKE – Alleged Former FBI and Mueller, Strzok and Clinton associate. (It is rumored that Sean has ties with NBC as well)

Dmitri Alperovitch – Russian born CROWDSTRIKE CO FOUNDER

Stated in the now debunked Grizzly Misteppe report and stating earlier that he only had medium confidence yet seemed to be surer as federal agencies all relied on the flimsy 13-page power point all dressed up with Russian names and has more of a self-help security guide and glossary. Lots of filler content means they were short on content which supports the fabrication argument.

IF THIS GRIZZLEY STEPPE REPORT WERE TO BE PROVEN FALSE:

- 1. It might affect Crowd Strike “bottom line” (200 million in funding is a consideration)**
- 2. It would embarrass the Obama Administration for deploying Tanks against Russia based on a flimsy power point from the Geek Squad that had been caught fabricating reports before.**
- 3. It would place focus back on the hideous and embarrassing CONTENT of the emails leaked and Hillary Clintons use of a private email server in a closet for National Security and place doubts on her ability to be President.**

WHO COULD POTENTIALLY PROVE THE REPORT FALSE?

1. SETH RICH

HEAD DNC NATIONAL VOTER DATA, SANDERS SUPPORTER, OFFERED PROMOTION OUT OF DNC TO CLINTON CAMPAIGN WHERE HE HAD ENABLED ACCESS TO SANDERS URETSKY.

2. ANDREW THERRIault

GOT SETH HIS JOB AT THE DNC AND MOVED AWAY FROM DC TO BOSTON 30 DAYS BEFORE SETH WAS MURDERED. THE DAY HE LEFT TO GO TO BOSTON IS THE SAME DATE OF THE LAST EMAIL IN THE WIKILEAKS ARCHIVE. (IT IS ODD THAT THE DATA INDICATES MOVEMENT IN CONCERT WITH PERSONEL MOVES RATHER THAN MOVEMENT OF DATA PACKETS ACROSS THE NETWORK FROM AUSTRALIA AND AMSTERDAM, OTHER)

PODESTA “HAD IT WITH LEAKERS”

It is interesting to note, that this was a “LEAKY ENVIROMENT”, not a “HACKY” one. The fix was in for Hillary and Podesta had bulldozed his candidate HILLARY into the DNC, there was a segment of anti-Hillary personnel who were pro Sanders.

The rigging of the DNC primaries and Debates, helped fan the flames of dissent. We have all seen the DEMOCRATS literally boo WASSERMAN SHULTZ off the podium and Bernie Delegates walkout and protest.

SETH RICH was just part of a group of Hillary Haters created and exacerbated by growing dissent among DNC staffers and others who were rubbed the wrong way by the rigging.

On Sun, Feb 22, 2015 at 6:12 PM, John Podesta <john.podesta@gmail.com>
wrote:

> Agree. Happy to talk to the David's. Call me crazy, but I think if we can
> survive the next month, it will be possible, maybe even straightforward to
> get our arms around this once there is an actual campaign. I'm definitely
> for making an example of a suspected leaker whether or not we have any real
> basis for it.
>
> JP

DIGITAL WATERGATE OF EPIC PROPORTIONS

Enter Peter Strzok. Agent Strzok is the head of counter terrorism at the FBI and along with the number 2 McCabe a fanatical supporter of Clinton. While being a supporter is and should not be construed as a crime, working as an active agent for any candidate is illegal.

We think Peter Strzok did participate in the fabrication and manipulation of the now infamous TRUMP dossier which was reported commissioned by the Clinton campaign via their law firm Perkins Coe who allegedly acted as a legal buffer and financial apparatus to Steele who then in turn paid various low level retired intelligence operatives who then in turn fabricated National Enquirer style garbage.

The report was manipulated by Peter Strzok to look official for the specific purpose of obtaining UNLIMITED ACCESS TO:

EVERYONE

1. Political Opponent Donald Trump
2. Any of his friends and associates (With a FISA you can find a friend that knows a Russian)
3. The entire Clinton Campaign (Including leakers like Seth Rich)
4. CROWDSTRIKE (leverage)

You guessed it. WATERGATE but with FBI, & ILLEGAL FISA WARRANTS as the Burglars.

What is a FISA warrant? How can a fake dossier secure a FISA warrant and then tap your phone back in time?

A FISA warrant allows the MODERN DAY tapping of phone, email, text communications PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE. Since phone calls are now stored as digital computer files, every phone call and email you have ever sent is subject to search, seizure and prosecution.

These phone calls and emails and other communications are stored in DATA CENTERS run by “major tech companies” A FISA warrant can enable an entity to conduct a **COMPLETE SCAN** on an any individual connected to the individual specified in the WARRANT which may cover long time spans and eventually your entire life as time moves on and more data is collected.

Each person profiled can then be held liable for everything they have ever said. It is like going through the browser history. And yes, they have that too. These we discussed in the CIA Vault 7 episode where Chuck had referred to TRUMP proclaiming “My wires have been tapped”. Everything we have said on the Blunt Force Truth shows have been proven 100 percent accurate.

By Clinton and Rouge elements of the FBI defrauding the FISA COURT to SECURE A FISA WARRANT BOTH FRAUDULENTLY AND FOR POLITICAL ELECTION MANIPULATION, we are talking about a **DIGITAL WATERGATE of EPIC PROPORTIONS** whereas instead of a team of burglars broke in to the DNC “back in the day”, at the WATERGATE HOTEL, the Clinton Campaign working with Rogue elements within the FBI secured a fake warrant. (warrant obtained with fraudulent cause presented to the FISA court Judge.)

THE RESULTS IN FAVOR OF HILLARY CLINTON using the dossier and then in turn presenting it as a LEGITIMATE GOVERNMENT DOCUMENT.

WikiLeaks figure says 'disgusted' Democrat leaked Clinton campaign emails



"Neither of [the leaks] came from the Russians," said Craig Murray, former British ambassador to Uzbekistan and a close associate of WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange. "The source had legal access to the information. The documents came from inside leaks, not ... [more >](#)

Search GO

RECOMMENDED



Trump blasts Obama 'roadblocks' as power transfer turns increasing hostile



Donald Trump's wrestling ties helped hone over-the-top political persona



Best NFL quarterbacks of a time – ranked

The Difference Between a Leak and a Hack

Here is a quote from this group of US Intelligence veterans:

Leak: When someone physically takes data out of an organization and gives it to some other person or organization, as Edward Snowden and Chelsea Manning did.

Hack: When someone in a remote location electronically penetrates operating systems, firewalls or any other cyber-protection system and then extracts data.

“ALL data that is passed from any server including but not limited to the DNC or Hillary (HRC private email server) or any other server – may be collected. These data transfers carry destination addresses in what are called packets, which enable the transfer to be traced and followed through the network.”

Emails being passed across the network are broken down into smaller segments called packets. These packets are passed into the network to be delivered to a recipient. This means the packets need to be reassembled at the receiving end.”

“To accomplish this, all the packets that form a message are assigned an identifying number that enables the receiving end to collect them for reassembly. Moreover, each packet carries the originator and ultimate receiver Internet protocol number (either IPV4 or IPV6) that enables the network to route data.



DNC restricts Sanders Campaign Access - DEMOCRAT DONOR / VOTER DATABASES

As we have said. This was a fight over Data access between the campaigns. THE PRIMARY ADVANTAGE CLINTON GAINED OVER THEN RIVAL CANDIDATE SANDERS WAS TO BLOCK SANDERS FROM DNC DATA RESOURCES and ACCESS to DONOR DATA.

SETH RICH MANAGED THAT DATA.

As CLINTON CAMPAIGN operatives moved in to take over the DNC, there was a culture clash inside the DNC over the integration of two segments. 1. Pro Bernie Sanders operatives and 2. Clinton Campaign operatives that were able to interlope into control of shared resources.

To assist you in “taking you inside the mindset at the DNC” have a look at the PRE-DNC Convention video footage where angry super delegates protested and chanted in a heated and televised CLASH with then DNC CHAIR and Clinton sidekick DEBBIE WASSERMAN SHULTZ and BOOED HER AT THE PODIUM.

The DNC had to call Bernie Sanders in to calm everyone down at the convention. It was hostile.

DEMOCRATS WERE DIVIDED AND PISSED. SETH RICH WAS NOT A LONE SANDERS FAN.

Picture going on for months and imagine yourself having gone through that revolt we all watched every day for several months. This is the PRECISE “weather report” for SETH RICH day to day, week to week and month to month in the final year of SETH’S life.

THIS WAS THE ENVIROMENT AT THE DNC AT THAT TIME

Josh Uretsky exploited the open directories left by Seth Rich much like dog would find a hot steak within seconds no matter where you had hidden it. It was that obvious.

Josh copies the DATA (for evidence... wink wink) downloads the completed database from the DNC server which leads to Josh’s IMMEDIATE firing by SANDERS and the **DNC** came down on the Sanders campaign with full force.

It was not the final DNC Email leak that led to the Killing of Seth Rich:

1. The leak could not have happened before May 22nd 2016
2. The servers were under the care of Crowd Strike DURING THE ALLEGED AND VERY FAKE RUSSIAN HACK.

WHAT DO YOU THINK CROWDSTRIKE’S DISPOSITION WAS WHEN A RUSSIAN HACK HAPPENED RIGHT UNDER THEIR NOSES?

In fact, no hack happened Russian or otherwise. When Seth Rich copied the Data off the win 2k server at 10.1.1.18 (do not quote me on that subnet IP) on to a USB drive, CROWDSTRIKE’S 200 million and 1 billion evaluation would be in serious deep state SH^&*T.

WHO COULD BRING DOWN THAT COMPANY?

SETH RICH (And a few others.)

JOSH URETSKY OF SANDERS CAMPAIGN – HACKS THE DNC SERVER AND IS FIRED BY SANDERS WHEN CAUGHT BY CLINTON OPERATIVES IN THE DNC IN DECEMBER 2015 – BUT WHO LEFT THE DOOR OPEN ON THE DNC SUPER DONOR AND NPGVAN DATABASES FOR JOSH URETSKY TO HACK? **SETH RICH** –



JOSH URETSKY

TWO SUSPECTS - ONE JOB TITLE?

Keep in mind the first of these two has ALREADY BEEN CAUGHT BY THE DNC AND SANDERS WAS SANCTIONED FOR THE HACKING and BY THE DNC.

Now tell yourself PODESTA did not suspect SETH RICH as the leaker “to make an example of weather there was a reason or not”

JOSH URETSKY (CAUGHT FOR DNC SERVER BREACH 1)

National Data Director

Bernie 2016

Dates Employed Sep 2015 – Dec 2015

FACILITATOR BREACH 1 PERPATRATOR SERVER BREACH 2 “The DNC Email Server Hack”)

National Voter Data Director

DNC 2016

Dates Employed Sep 2014 – May 2016

SETH RICH COMMON FALACIES

1. SETH RICH WAS JUST A STAFFER– FALSE

SETH RICH WAS NOT JUST ANOTHER “STAFFER” WE USUALLY REFRAIN FROM CALLING ANY KIA SOLDIER A “GRUNT”. THE DNC AND HRC CAMPAIGNS CONTINUALLY REFER TO SETH RICH AS A “STAFFER” TO MINIMIZE AND “SPIN” HIS INVOLVEMENT LEVEL AND POSITION AT THE DNC, YET WE HAVE FOOTAGE OF DEBBIE WASSERMAN SHULTZ PACING IN FRONT OF SETH RICH’S APARTMENT AT HIS WAKE. HER DEMEANOR WAS OFF. **DNC HEAD OF NATIONAL VOTER EXPANSION**

“THERE HAD BEEN A RECENT STRING OF SHOOTINS IN THE AREA” FALSE

2. THERE HAD BEEN NO CRIMES REPORTED IN THE AREA WITH A PARALELL FACT PATTERN AND NONE RESULTING IN A SEVERE BEATING AND SUBSEQUENT SHOOTING AND DEATH. AS WELL NOT 50 FT FROM THE TARGET’S DOMICILE. TO SAY THERE HAD BEEN CRIME IN DC IS AN INSULT TO ANY INTELLIGENT PERSON. BUT NOT THE PUBLIC.

“NOTHING WAS TAKEN IN THE ROBBERY” FALSE

THE CLAIM NOTHING HAD BEEN STOLEN FROM MR RICH BEFORE HIS KILLING, IS MISLEADING BECAUSE IT DOES NOT INCLUDE THE USB DRIVE HE WAS CARRYING. WHICH WAS NOT FOUND ON HIS BODY.

WHERE AN ITEM WAS BEING DEMANDED WHICH WAS NOT A WATCH, WALLET, MONEY, OR 2000.00 DOLLAR NECKLACE WORN BY SETH RICH. BUT A USB DRIVE WE KNOW EXISTED. AND WAS MISSING FROM HIS BODY.

HOW WOULD THE INVESTIGATORS KNOW? AND SO QUICKLY?

WE KNOW THAT SETH RICH DID NOT SATISFY THE ASSAILANTS DEMANDS, AND THAT COMMON PERSONAL EFFECTS THAT WOULD BE TAKEN FROM A ROBBERY WERE LEFT ON SETH’S BODY.

WE KNOW IT WAS A TARGETED AND PRE-MEDITATED “HIT” BECAUSE THE ASSAILANTS HAD SEVERELY BEATEN SETH RICH BEFORE SHOOTING HIM.

SETH RICH WAS BEATEN AND SHOT TWICE, THIS IS AN INDICATION OF PRE-MEDITATION AND A SECOND SHOT ALIGNS WITH MURDER. **NOT ROBBERY.**

BOTCHED TRANSACTION (ROBBERY OR OTHER) REQUIRES DEMAND FOR AN ITEM OUTSIDE SCOPE OF COMMON EFFECTS. (USB DRIVE, OR ADMISSION OF GUILT)

ONCE THE ITEM IS REQUESTED, THERE IS NO TURNING BACK BECAUSE SETH RICH WOULD KNOW THE ORIGIN OF THE ATTACKERS. THAT LEAVES A PRE-MEDITATED INTENTION OF TARGET TERMINATION REGARDLESS OF RECOVERY OF THE ITEM.

THE EVASIVE AND NON-COOPERATIVE DNC

THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A CASE WHERE A DATA BREACH OF THIS MAGNITUDE OCCURRED, AND THE VICTIM OF THE DATA BREACH FAILED TO COOPERATE WITH FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT

UNLESS THE PROBLEM IS **INTERNAL**

THE DNC HIRED THEIR OWN **INTERNAL** "EXPERTS" CROWDSTRIKE AND GAVE THEM A DIRECTIVE WHICH ALL CLIENTS DO. A DESIRED OUTCOME, AND A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF MONEY. COMBINED WITH THE UPCOMING 100 MILLION IN CAPITAL INVESTMENTS (TRAUNCHE 4)

PRESSURE TO ISSUE AN EXTERNAL OUTCOME THAT WOULD MAKE THEIR CANDIDATE (AND CLIENT) CLINTON APPEAR COMPETENT AFTER HER EXTREMELY CARELESS ACTIVITIES WITH HER PREVIOUS **UNAUTHORIZED USE OF A PRIVATE EMAIL SERVER**. AS CLEARLY STATED BY FORMER FBI DIRECTOR JAMES COMEY UNDER OATH.

CROWDSTRIKE WAS ALREADY IN HOT WATER ON THE FAKE UKRAINIAN HOWITZER DESTRUCTION REPORT AND CAUGHT FABRICATING REPORT(S) WHICH ALSO REFERENCED FABRICATED RUSSIAN NAMING CONVENTIONS COOKED UP BY 4th ROUND 100 MILLION DOLLAR "FUNDING HUNGRY" CO-FOUNDER DMITRI ALPEROVITCH. IT IS LIKELY THAT CROWDSTRIKE DID THE SAME THING TO THE DNC DRIVES THAT THEY DID TO THEIR REPORTS. FABRICATE.

USING BASIC TACTICS LIKE ALTERATION OF MAC TIMES (MODIFIED ACCESSED CREATED) OF FILES DEPOSITED BY RESETTING THE SYSTEM CLOCK FOR FILE DROP AND THEN REBOOTING IT WOULD BE EASY TO CREATE ANY SCENARIO POSSIBLE. ALL ELECTRONIC FILE DATA IS SUBJECT TO ELECTRONIC ALTERATION, CREATION ADDITION AND DELETION.

IT IS LIKELY ANY MALWARE DESCRIBED BY CROWD STRIKE THAT WOULD EXIST ON THE BIT FOR BIT DRIVE COPIES AT CROWDSTRIKE WOULD CONTAIN EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE FOR ANY CROWDSTRIKE MANIPULATION IN THE SLACK DATA LEFT ON THE ORIGINAL DISK, WHICH WOULD HAVE TO BE PRESENT IF CROWDSTRIKE FOLLOWED PROPER CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND DATA COLLECTION PROTOCOLS. (IT IS INCONCEIVABLE THAT THEY WOULD NOT HAVE)

ALL OF THE INTEL AGENCIES HAD NO CHOICE BUT TO “SIGN ON” TO USING THIS PRIVATE COMPANY’S FAKE REPORT MARCHING IT INTO CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS ONLY TO HAVE IT DEBUNKED PIECE BY PIECE.

WITH NOTHING MORE THAN A GRIZZLY “MIS STEPPE” REPORT WHICH FBI WAS FORCED TO USE ONCE THE DNC (CLINTON) BLOCKED ACCESS TO THE EVIDENCE FROM THE FBI AND OTHER INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES ALL OF WHICH WERE LEFT CARRYING THE SAME BOGUS PDF WHICH HAD DESCRIBED THE BIGGEST ALLEGED HACK IN OUR NATIONS HISTORY IN 3 PAGES OF TEXT AND 13 PAGES OF USELESS FLUFF AND GRAPHICS.

FAST FACTS

1. WikiLeaks released 44,053 emails 17,761 attachments
2. Director Luis Miranda (10520 emails)
3. National Finance Director Jordon Kaplan (3799 emails)
4. Finance Chief of Staff Scott Comer (3095 emails)
5. Finance Director of Data & Strategic Initiatives
6. Daniel Parrish (1742 emails)
7. Finance Director Allen Zachary (1611 emails)
8. Senior Advisor Andrew Wright (938 emails)
9. Northern California Finance Director Robert (Erik) Stowe (751 emails)

NO EMAIL LEAK DATED AFTER 25 May 2016 (DATE OF DOWNLOAD)

OBSERVATIONS

ON AUGUST 1ST Just weeks after Seth's Murder, Andrew returned from Boston to DC where he visited the executive wing of the WHITE HOUSE at 10:00am and exited at 11:59pm.

In Seth's Pandas4Bernie Reddit account he allegedly stated: "There is literally zero reason to believe Hillary Clinton will meet any of these demands if the people stop demanding them. We can't stop Trump without swearing our loyalty to the one who betrayed us. We are taking this to the Convention. WE ARE NOT BACKING DOWN"

CRAIG MURRAY: former British Ambassador to Uzbekistan and WikiLeaks operative stated "NEITHER OF THE LEAKS CAME FROM THE RUSSIANS. THE SOURCE HAD LEGAL ACCESS TO THE INFORMATION. THE LEAKS CAN FROM INSIDE LEAKS, NOT HACKS"

IT IS REASONABLE WITH THE UPRISING OF THE MANY DISINFRANCHEISED DEMOCRATS DELEGATES PRESENT FOR BERNIE AT THE PRE-DNC CONVENTION THAT BOOED WASSERMAN SHULTZ FROM THE PODIUM AT THE NOW INFAMOUS PRE-DNC CONVENTION PODIUM ANNOUNCEMENT, THAT SETH RICH COULD BE JUST ONE OF MANY FURIOUS WITH THE REVELATIONS THAT CLINTON HAD ORCHESTRATED THE RIGGING OF THE 2016 DNC PRIMARY.

HRC, WOULD ALSO GO ON TO USE THE WHITE HOUSE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION, DEPT OF JUSTICE, FBI, AS HER PERSONAL PRE-ELECTION DIGITAL WATERGATE BURGLARS TO DIGITALLY SPY ON ANYONE INTERNAL OR EXTERNAL BY FALSIFYING EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO THE FISA COURT JUDGE.

USING A "**FOR HIRE MANUFACTURED AND OTHERWISE FABRICATED DOSSIER**" TO OBTAIN SAID ILLEGAL FISA WARRANT TO FRAUDULENTLY MANIPULATE FEDERAL AGENCYS AND COLLUDING WITH STRZOK WHO COULD HAVE PLAYED A "DIGITAL WATERGATE LIKE" ROLE TO DEFRAUD A FISA COURT JUDGE TO SPY ON NOT JUST A POLITICAL OPPONENT, BUT TO GET THE GOODS ON FUTURE RIVALS.

(GENERAL FLYNN)

GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY FORENSICS CONDUCTED AND IN-DEPTH STUDY OF THE MURDER OF SETH RICH AND ISSUED A FINDING.

"WE DO NOT BELIEVE THE OFFENDER IS A ROBBER, NOR A ROBBER WHO KILLED. OFFENDER HAD THE ABILITY TO KILL AND BROUGHT WITH THEM AN INSTRUMENT TO DO SO. THOUGH WE DO NOT KNOW WHAT OTHER ITEMS SETH MAY HAVE HAD IN HIS POSSESSION, HIS WATCH, PHONE, WALLET AND 2000.00 DOLLAR NECKLACE WERE NOT STOLEN."

"NOR WAS SETHS BODY REPORTED YO HAVE BEEN FURTHER ACCOSTED OR DEGRADED. WITH SUCH A SANTIZED CRIME SCENE AND NO EMOTIONAL INDICATIONS, THIS IS NOT OFFENDERS FIRST KILL. IN SUPPORT OF OUR ASSERTION THAT THE OFFENDER HAS KILLED BEFORE, AND THIS IS, BY THE FBI DEFINITION A SERIAL KILLER"

SERIAL KILLERS FALL IN TO 2 CLASSIFICATIONS

1. PERSONAL
2. PROFESSIONAL

THE SIGNIFIGANCE OF GEO LOCATION / PROXIMITY TO TARGET RESIDENCE IN “FOR HIRE” KILLINGS

IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT TARGET SETH RICH WAS BEATEN SHOT AND KILLED WITHIN A 100 FOOT RADIUS OF HIS RESIDENCE ON FLAGLER. THE CLOSER THE INCIDENT LOCATION IS TO THE RESIDENCE OF A TARGET IN A MURDER. PRE-MEDITATION VARIABLE IS AMPLIFIED.

MATH

1. MATHMATICAL ODDS OF BEING SHOT AND KILLED
2. MATHMATICAL ODDS OF BEING SHOT AND KILLED IN DC
3. MATHMATICAL ODDS OF BEING SHOT AND KILLED IN DC AND WORKING FOR DNC
4. MATHMATICAL ODDS OF DEATH BY GUNSHOT WORKING FOR DNC AS A COMPUTER AFTER DNC COMPUTER DATA THEFT WHEN SUSPECTED OF STEALING DATA?

OTHER DNC CASES SIMILARITYS

AWAN BROTHERS

OWNED CAR CENTERS/DEALERSHIPS SUSPECTED OF SELLING STOLEN VEHICLES USED IN DNC BLACK OPERATIONS WITH ANOTHER FOREIGN NATIONAL AWAN LLC HACKED UP TO 80 BLACKBERRY DEVICES FROM MEMBERS OF CONGRESS.

ARSHAD MAHMOOD

IS THE REGISTERED AGENT FOR AWAN BROTHERS LLC WHO ORDERED THE KILLING OF CAR DEALER?

3 AWAN BROTHERS 1 WIFE WORKING FOR WASSERMAN SHULTZ ORDER THE KILLING OF CAR DEALER

HOW IS MSM GETTING THE FALSE RUSSIAN NARRATIVE TO STICK IN THE NEWS CYCLE SO LONG IF IT ISNT TRUE?

Seymore Hersh Transcript

“He was a data programmer, but he learned stuff.”

“Okay, so what the cops do then, and here's what nobody knows, what I'm telling you - or maybe you know something about it - when you have a death like that, DC cops, you have to get to the kid's apartment and see what you can find. If he's dead you don't need a warrant, but most cops get a warrant because they don't know if the guy has a room-mate. You need a warrant, so they get a warrant.”

“They go in the house, and they can't do much with his computer, it's password. The cops don't know much about it. So, the DC cops, they have a cyber unit in DC and they're more sophisticated. They come and look at it. The idea is maybe he's had a series of exchanges with somebody who says, 'I'm going to kill you, you mother ****er over a girl' or... And they can't get in. The cyber guys are a little better, but they can't make sense of it, so they call the FBI cyber unit. “

“What you get in a warrant - the public information you get in a warrant - doesn't include the affidavit underlying why you're going in - what the reason was. That's almost never available. I can tell you that. The existence of a warrant is a public document 99% of the time.”

“So, and the same morning they call in the feds. The feds get through and here's what they find. This is according to the FBI report. What they find is he makes contact.”

“First of all, you have to know some basic facts. One of the basic facts is that there are no DNC or Podesta emails that exist beyond May 21st or 22nd, the last email from either one of those groups.”

“And so, what the report says is that sometime in late spring/early summer, he makes contact with Wikileaks. That's in his computer, and he makes contact. Now, I have to be careful because I met Julian ten twelve years... I stay the f*** away from people like that. You know, he's invited me, when I'm in London I always get a message, "Come see me at the Ecuadorian embassy." F*** you, I ain't going there. I got enough trouble without getting photographed. He's under total surveillance by everybody.”

“Anyway, they found what he had done. He had submitted a series of documents, of emails, some juicy emails from the DNC. And you know, by the way all this s*** about the DNC, you know, whatever happened the democrats themselves wrote this s***, you know what I mean. All I know is that he offered a sample, an extensive sample, I'm sure dozens of emails, and said, "I want money.”

“Then later Wikileaks did get the password. He had a Drop Box - a protected Drop Box - which isn't hard to do. I mean you don't have to be an IT wizard. He was certainly, he was not a dumb kid.”

“They got access to the Drobox. He also - this is also in the FBI report - he also let people know with whom he was dealing. I don't know how he dealt with the Wikileaks and the mechanism but he also... The word was passed according to the NSA report, "I also shared this box with a couple of friends so if anything happens to me it's not going to solve your problems." Okay. I don't know what that means. I don't know whether he...”

“Anyway, Wikileaks got access, and before he was killed. I can tell you right now. Brennan's¹ an asshole. I've known all these people for years. Clapper² sort of a (*illegible*) guy but not a rocket scientist. The NSA guy's a f***ing moron. And the trouble with all those guys is the only way they're going to make it to, you know, get hired by SAI (*illegible*) and delivered some fat cat contract is if Hillary stayed in. With Trump they're gone. They're done. They're going to live on they're pension. They're not going to make it. And I got to tell you, guys in that job, they don't want to live on their pension. They want to be on boards making six hundred thousand bucks.”

“I have somebody on the inside. I've been around a long time and I write a lot of stuff. I have somebody on the inside who will go and read a file for me. This person is unbelievably accurate and careful. He's a very high-level guy. He'll do a favor. You're just going to have to trust me. I have what they call in my business, long form journalism, I have a narrative of how that whole f***king thing began.”

“It's a Brennan operation. It was an American disinformation operation f***ing the f***ing president. And at one point they even started telling the press, they were back-briefing the press, the head of the NSA was going and telling the press - f***ing c***sucker Rogers³ - was telling the press that we even know who in the Russian military intelligence service leaked it. I mean all bulls***.”

“I worked for the New York Times for f***ing years. The trouble with the New York Times is they have smart guys but they're totally beholden on sources. If the president or the head of the (*illegible*) told them something, they actually believe it. I was hired by the Times to write about, go after the war, the Vietnam war in 72, because they were just locked in, so that's what the Times is. These guys run the f***ing Times.”

“And Trump's not wrong to... I mean I wish he would calm down and had a better Press Secretary. Trump's not wrong to think they all f***ing lied about him.”

HOW DO WE KNOW SETH RICH HAD BEEN CAUGHT? THIS WAS A FISA. EVERYONE WAS SURVEILLED.

Jim Jordan / Christopher Wray Transcript



Here's the full exchange, with the key part in bold:

JORDAN: Thank you. Director, was Agent Peter Strzok the former deputy head of counterintelligence at the FBI?

WRAY: I don't remember his exact title, but I believe that's correct.

JORDAN: And he's the same Peter Strzok who was a key player in the Clinton investigation, the same Peter Strzok who interviewed Cheryl Mills, Huma Abedin, participated in the Clinton — Secretary Clinton's interview? And he's also the same Peter Strzok who — now we know — changed Director Comey's exoneration letter, changed the term "gross negligence," which is a crime, to "extreme carelessness"? Is that the same guy?

WRAY: Well, Congressman, I don't know every step that the individual you mentioned was involved in. But certainly, I know that he was heavily involved in the Clinton e-mail investigation.

JORDAN: And he — thank you. And he — and is it — is this the same Peter Strzok who helped — was a key player in the Russian investigation, and the same Peter Strzok who was put on Mueller's team — Special Counsel Bob Mueller's team?

WRAY: I certainly know that he was working on the special counsel's investigation. Whether or not he would be characterized as...

JORDAN: And the same...

WRAY: ... a key player on that investigation, that's really not for me to say.

JORDAN: ... OK — and the same Peter Strzok that, we learned this past weekend, was removed from the special counsel team because he exchanged text messages with a colleague at the FBI that were — displayed a pro-Clinton bias — is that accurate?

WRAY: Yes.

JORDAN: Talking about the same guy? OK.

WRAY: Yes.

JORDAN: Well, here's what I'm not getting: Peter Strzok is selected to be on Mueller's team, after all this history, put on Mueller's team, and then he's removed for some pro-Clinton text messages. I mean, there are all kinds of people on Mueller's team who are pro-Clinton. There's been all kinds of stories — PolitiFact reported 96 percent of the top lawyers' contributions went to Clinton or Obama.

But Peter Strzok, the guy who ran the Clinton investigation; interviewed Mills, Abedin; interviewed Secretary Clinton; changed "gross negligence," a crime, to the term "extreme carelessness;" who ran the Russian investigation; who interviewed Mike Flynn gets put on Mueller's team, and then he gets kicked off for a text message that's anti-Trump.

If you kicked everybody off Mueller's team who was anti-Trump, I don't think there'd be anybody left. So here — there's got to be something more here. It can't just be some text messages that show a pro-Clinton, anti-Trump bias. There's got to be something more. And I'm trying to figure out what it is.

But my hunch is it has something to do with the dossier. Director, did Peter Strzok help produce and present the application to the FISA court to secure a warrant to spy on Americans associated with the Trump campaign?

WRAY: Congressman, I'm not prepared to discuss anything about a FISA process in this setting.

JORDAN: Not a — we're not talking about what happened in the court. We're talking about what the FBI took to the court, the application. Did Peter Strzok — was he involved in taking that to the court?

WRAY: I'm not going to discuss in this setting anything to do with the FISA court applications.

JORDAN: Well, let's remember a couple of things, director, and I know you know this. We've all been made aware of this in the last few weeks. Let's remember a couple of things about the dossier.

The Democratic National Committee and the Clinton campaign, which we now know were one and the same, paid the law firm, who paid Fusion GPS, who paid Christopher Steele, who then paid Russians to put together a report that we call a dossier, full of all kinds of fake news, National Enquirer garbage.

And it's been reported that this dossier was all dressed up by the FBI, taken to the FISA court and presented as a legitimate intelligence document, that it became the basis for granting a warrant to spy on Americans.

And I'm wondering — I'm wondering if that actually took place. It sure looks like it did, and the easiest way to clear it up is for you guys to tell us what was in that application and who took it there.

WRAY: Congressman, our staffs have been having extensive interaction with both intelligence committees on our interaction with the FISA court, and I think that's the appropriate setting for those questions.

JORDAN: Here's what I think, Director Wray. I think Peter Strzok, head of counterintelligence at the FBI; Peter Strzok, the guy who ran the Clinton investigation, did all the interviews; Peter Strzok, the guy who was running the Russian investigation at the FBI; Peter Strzok, Mr. Super-Agent at the FBI — I think he's the guy who took the application to the FISA court.

And if that happened — I mean, think — if this happened, if you had the FBI working with a campaign, the Democrats' campaign, taking opposition research, dressing it all up and turning it into an intelligence document and taking it to the FISA court so they could spy on the other campaign — if that happened, that is as wrong as gets.

And you know what? Maybe I'm wrong. You could clear it all up. You could clear it all up for all of us here — all the Congress who wants to know, and frankly, all of America who wants to know — you could clear all up by releasing (ph) — we sent you a letter two days ago — just release the application.

Tell us what was in it. Tell us if I'm wrong. But I don't think I am. I think that's exactly what happened. And, if it did, it is as wrong as it can be, and people who did that need to be held accountable.

WRAY: Congressman, we will not hesitate to hold people accountable after there has been an appropriate investigation, independent and objective, by the inspector general into the handling of the prior matter. And, based on that, I will look at all available remedies, depending on what the facts are when they are found.

As to the access to the dossier, that's something that is the subject of ongoing discussion between my staff and the various intelligence committees.

JORDAN: There's nothing prohibiting you, Director. Is there anything prohibiting you from showing this committee the — what was presented to the FISA court — that — the application you all put together at the FBI, that was presented to the FISA court? Is there anything preventing you from showing us that?

GOODLATTE: The time of the gentleman has expired. The director can respond.

WRAY: I do not believe that I can legally and appropriately share a FISA court submission with this committee.

JORDAN: I'm talking about what the FBI put together, not what the court had. What you took there — what was — the process put together, what you presented, what you took to the court.

WRAY: When I sign FISA applications, which I have to do almost every day of the week, they are all covered with a "classified information" cover. So that's part of why we will not be discussing it here.

JORDAN: Director, is it likely that Peter Strzok — is it likely that Peter Strzok...

GOODLATTE: The gentleman — the gentleman — the gentleman...

JORDAN: ... played a part in the application presented to the FISA court?

GOODLATTE: ... the gentleman's time has expired. However, I do want to follow up on your last response to the gentleman.

This committee, the House Judiciary Committee, has primary jurisdiction over the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court. So, any request for documents coming to any part of the Congress should include the House Judiciary Committee.

And if it is classified in any way, shape or form, it can be provided to us in a classified setting, but that is information that we are very much interested in...

JORDAN: Mr. Chairman...

GOODLATTE: ... and very much want to receive.

JORDAN: ... the discussion — the chairman — yeah, I don't think there's anything prohibiting the FBI from giving us what they used to put together what was taken to the FISA court. That's what we're asking for, and there is nothing prohibiting him from doing that.

GOODLATTE: I don't think there is, either. The time the gentleman has expired, however. You care to respond to that, Director Wray?

WRAY: No, I think I've covered.



SPECIFIC AND HOW IT RLEATES DIRECTLY TO SETH RICH.

1. We know both campaigns were under surveillance during this entire time and all under Obama's DOJ. and FBI and later deeper crawls of the data by NSA and other entities both known and unknown.

DO YOU KNOW WHAT A FISA is?

It is a **court order** to listen to **everything you have ever done** since the Government already has a **digital copy of your life** stored in DATA CENTERS throughout the country. But let's start with the basics and in this case which encompasses the **entire election** including but not limited **illegal spying** visa via an **illegally obtained FISA** warrant based on a **fraudulent "dossier"** which was **doctored by a rogue element of the FBI** (Agent Strzok) on DNC, SETH RICH, GEN FLYNN, MANAFORT, TRUMP, and many others including both leaks (not hacks) of the DNC (twice) and various email excursions. (email phishing is NOT hacking).

Remember, the "Deep State" is counting on your computer illiteracy.

1. 5000 plus individuals spied on illegally FORGERY LACED FISA Warrant Application by PETER STRZOK and 3 other FBI DOJ Rogue elements.
2. SETH RICH and every data packet he transferred was in the Scope of the FISA (does not have to be in FISA to be in scope. If you are thinking 'Trump' and how far SETH RICH is from him, you would be very wrong in the world of BIG DATA and how fast we can crawl you in a relational Database using xkeyscore and other similar tools to mine big data.).
3. Clinton Campaign had complete access. (Podesta at the helm, Obama DOJ not far behind and Rosenstein all in the mix w/ Deep State Operatives.)
4. ALL DATA inbound and outbound is COMPLETE and intact to FBI NSA.
5. Xkeyscore is an NSA tool (outdated and one of many) that can search every text message, phone call, email, post, tweet, or others talking about you in a heartbeat.
6. All phone calls, emails, data transfers are all COPIED and stored, and your **entire life** can be retrieved with a FISA. That means they can tap you BACK IN TIME.
7. All data is stored for **National Security Reasons**.
8. The **intelligence community at large is honest**.
9. The crime was well described in hearing by **Jim Jordan** defining the scope.
10. This is an **attempt to override the voters in the 2016 election** and use **illegal spying** to put a **new leader in place**. The **election was rigged and failed**. There were fraudulent voter roles, **dead people voting** you name it. **This is a coup** to override the will of the people. And they are using people's **lack of understanding in computer technology** to pull it off. Computer professionals know this as **comedy** at its best.
11. The **Russia fairytale**

When we listen to **Jim Jordan's** examination of **Christopher Wray**, we get an accurate picture of what really went on. But **Jordan** does not get to go into nor do we believe he understands the full scope of all the effected people ruined by the **FORGED FISA WARRANT** that led to the indictments of Manafort, Flynn, Page, and Papadopoulos and the death of **SETH RICH** and 2 other potential victims.

"Fruit of the Poisonous tree" does not even begin to describe an evidence remedy in this case and it should go to **SCOTUS**.

DNC Denies FBI Routine Access

DNC SAYS A CRIME OCCURRED, WILL NOT LET THE FBI IN TO LOOK AT THE CRIME SCENE (HARD DRIVES) (“HECK EVEN OJ COMPLIED WITH SEARCH”)

DNC ISSUES DEMANDS TO FBI TO LET DNC CONTROL FBI EXAMINATION.

FBI REFUSES DNC SHADY TERMS

PRIVATE COMPANY WITH SHADY PAST CROWD STRIKE AGREES TO DNC SHADY TERMS

(SO, we know what the terms are)

CROWD STRIKE IS CAUGHT AND ALTERS REPORT

CROWD STRIKE ISSUES REPORT SIMILAR TO PAST BOGUS REPORT ON UKRAIN HOWITZER FIASCO

SHADY DNC TERMS PROCEED WITH CROWD STRIKE.

DNC IN CONTROL OF CROWDSTRIKE REPORT BUT DNC KNOWS NOTHING ABOUT HACKING.

\THIS LEADS TO INFOSEC COMMUNITY DETECTION OF THE FABRICATED REPORT. EXPERTS CRY FOUL.

CROWD STRIKE AND DNC CAUGHT FABRICATING RUSSIAN NAMES IN GRIZZLY STEPPE REPORT. THEY JUST “MADE THEM UP AND ADMITTED IT”

FABRICATED EVIDENCE / RUSSIAN NAMES AND DECEPTIVE GRAPHICS REPLACE SUBSTANCE AND INTELLIGENCE.

GRIZZLEY STEPPE REPORT LEAVES INFOSEC PROFESSIONAL COMMUNITY ROLLING ON THE FLOOR LAUGHING.

DNC WAS AFRAID THE FBI WOULD DETECT THE LOCAL LEAK POINTING TO INTERNAL LEAKER. (THAT WOULD POINT TO SETH RICH)



The Democratic National Committee, refused the FBI access to investigate its Windows 2k server (10.0.0.18) to trace the origins of the leaks. (EMAIL HEADERS UNMASK LOCAL IP OF DNC EMAIL SERVER ND WIN 2k SERVER AND THIRD-PARTY MAIL TRANSPORT PACKAGE.)

Federal agents confirmed that DNC officials would not allow FBI computer forensic experts to investigate DNC server leaks coming from inside the DNC.

The DNC briefly FBI would not agree to the DNC's absurd terms. Instead, the DNC hired a private security firm to conduct an internal investigation. The DNC then forwarded that third-party report to the FBI.

“You complain about Russians hacking your box (server) but failed on numerous occasions to grant the FBI access to investigate unless DNC could control the inquiry?” a federal law enforcement source said. It's very suspect.”

“HERE IS THE DNC AND THE MINORITY IN CONGRESS CLAIMING CLINTON LOST BECAUSE OF SOME RUSSIAN HACKING AND WILL NOT GIVE THE FBI ACCESS TO INVESTIGATE”

the FBI did not conduct a thorough investigation of the DNC’s email breaches, then how can it ascertain what roles the Russian state may have or may not have played in the hack?

The FBI is scheduled to report its findings to President-Elect Donald Trump on Friday.

THE EMPTY LUNCH BOX AND “ENRON” OF INFOSEC

CROWDSTRIKE HAS BEEN CAUGHT FABRICATING EVIDENCE IN THE PAST WITH THE UKRAINIAN ANDROID KILL VIRUS. CROWDSTRIKE HAS HAD TO RECIND REPORTS THEY DEEMED AS 100 PERCENT ACCURATE WHEN THEY GET CAUGHT.

“The first iteration of the POPR-D30 Android app designed by Ukrainian military officer Jaroslav Sherstuk (and the only iteration allegedly impacted by this malware) was a simple ballistics program that calculated corrections for humidity, atmospheric pressure, and other environmental factors that determine accuracy of the D-30 Howitzer. It did not have the capability to connect to WiFi, nor to receive or transmit any data as CEOWDSTRIKE CLAIMED IN THEIR REPORT.”

Summary

One of the biggest take-aways is the defiant demeanor of the DNC in refusing to cooperate with the FBI which stepped in immediately to help. The DNC, and its cooperation would have saved us from the colossal damages created by the DNC refusing to cooperate with any investigation, The FBI has solved much more difficult cybercrime cases. The leaking of the DNC emails is a cake walk for the FBI.

Make no mistake, our intelligence agencies are the very best in the world but the political entities that control them took them for a “wild ride” on this DNC leak case. The DNC while managed by the Clinton campaign, getting help from the previous administration has run our intelligence agencies through the mud and in to the ground, injected politics before procedure, and all under the threats of dissolution of various “elements” within.

The FBI (except for a rouge element(s) who may have been compromised) had no idea the Agency was being used to set up a “DIGITAL WATERGATE” to spy on the TRUMP CAMPAIGN using the DOJ, FBI and the FISA Court.

FISA SEQUENCE

1. HRC commissions dossier
2. Perkins Coe retains Fusion GPS
3. Fusion hires Christopher Steele
4. Christopher Steele takes the payoff and issues a National Enquirer garbage report.
5. Agent Stryok, gets the report and forges (alterations, fabrication, forgery etc)
6. Agent Stryok or accomplice (also rogue element within FBI) FORGES FISA PRESENTED TO FISA COURT TO GET AN ILLEGAL WARRANT TO SPY ON ANYONE THEY WANT AKA “DIGITAL WATERGATE”

XKeyscore or **XKEYSCORE** (abbreviated as XKS) is a formerly secret computer system first used by the United States National Security Agency for searching and analyzing global Internet data, which it collects on a daily basis.

Brazile: After Hacking, DNC Replicated Server for FBI Then ‘Destroyed’ Machines

“WASHINGTON – Former Democratic National Committee Interim Chairwoman Donna Brazile said the DNC paid a great deal of money to make a “replica” of all of the information on their server and computers after the hacking was discovered last year and then “destroyed the machines.”

“The first time I heard about the quote-unquote hacking, it was in June I heard about it. My machines had already started to have some really interesting – I had a DNC phone and a DNC computer and DNC email because as I mentioned I did a lot of stuff in the voting-rights field and [asked staff] ‘what’s going on?’” Brazile said during the event at the National Press Club on Tuesday evening. A staffer told her “‘we’re told to turn in all of our systems,’ so everyone turned in everything.”

THERE WAS NO RUSSIAN HACKING

The DNC emails were provided to WikiLeaks by an inside source not some shadowy Russian hacker. IN FACT, the only Russian Hacker that ever “grizzly stepped” his way into the DNC servers was none other than Dmitry Alperovitch of none other than CrowdStrike.



Dimitry who took a Russian Paintbrush to all of his reports and got caught fabricating evidence on more than one occasion including but not limited to the Ukraine Howitzer Report Crowd Strike had to retract and alter and re-release.

Dimitri who is partners with the former head of cyber crime at the FBI who is a close associate of none other than SPECIAL COUNCIL ROBERT MEULLER who is a known associate of

1. HILLARY CLINTON
2. JAMES COMEY
3. ANDREW MCCABE
4. PETER STRZOK
5. SUSAN RICE
6. JOHN PODESTA
7. DONNA BRAZILE

On August 9, the *Nation* finally covered a report from the Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS) — a 30-member group made up of well-respected experts from the National Security Agency (NSA), tech companies, and other intelligence agencies.

The report from VIPS stated that “Forensic studies of alleged ‘Russian hacking’ into Democratic National Committee computers last year reveal that on July 5, 2016, data was leaked (not hacked) by a person with physical access to a DNC computer. After examining metadata from the ‘Guccifer 2.0’ July 5, 2016 intrusion into the DNC server, independent cyber investigators have concluded that an insider copied DNC data onto an external storage device.”

Additionally, the former intelligence operatives detail how the FBI neglected to perform any independent forensics on the original “Guccifer 2.0.” They assert that “the reason the U.S. government lacks conclusive evidence of a transfer of a ‘Russian hack’ to WikiLeaks is because there was no such transfer.”

Among those who signed on to the report is William Binney, former NSA Technical Director for World Geopolitical & Military Analysis; Co-founder of NSA’s Signals Intelligence Automation Research Center, Larry C Johnson, who is retired from the CIA & State Department, Kirk Wiebe, former Senior Analyst at SIGINT Automation Research Center of the NSA, and many more.

For the *Nation*, Patrick Lawrence wrote this summary of the VETS findings:

“There was no hack of the Democratic National Committee’s system on July 5 last year—not by the Russians, not by anyone else. Hard science now demonstrates it was a leak—a download executed locally with a memory key or a similarly portable data-storage device. In short, it was an inside job by someone with access to the DNC’s system. This casts serious doubt on the initial ‘hack,’ as alleged, that led to the very consequential publication of a large store of documents on WikiLeaks last summer.”

Lawrence goes on to detail the findings of Forensicator, an analyst whose in depth study we reported on in July, and Adam Carter, a tech security expert and former hacker who we interviewed in April.

As we previously reported, the Forensicator concluded that the files were most likely obtained through a USB drive directly plugged into a DNC computer — not a Russian hacker.

Additionally, the report found that the files were copied five days before the murder of Seth Rich, a data analyst for the Democratic National Committee, who WikiLeaks has hinted may have been their source.

The analyst found that the transfer took place at speeds of 23 MB/s, which means that a remote data transfer over the internet would be extremely unlikely. Instead, the report states that a more likely scenario would be “that the individual who was collecting the data either had physical access to the computer where the data was stored, or the data was copied over a local high-speed network (LAN).”

“This initial copying activity was done on a system where Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) settings were in force. Most likely, the computer used to initially copy the data was located somewhere on the East Coast,” Forensicator reported.

The Forensicator found that the data was initially copied to a computer which was running Linux, as “the file last modified times all reflect the apparent time of the copy and this is a characteristic of the the Linux ‘cp’ command (using default options).” The report noted that a simple explanation for this would be that the Linux OS was booted from a USB flash drive — and that the data was subsequently copied back to the same drive. Using this method, the person transferring the files would be able to download a very large amount of data quickly.

“With all the billions of dollars we spend on this collection access system that the NSA has, there’s no way that could have missed all the packets being transferred from those servers to the Russians,” Binney told Sputnik News for my report at the outlet in December. “I mean, they should know exactly how and when those packets left those servers and went to the Russians, and where specifically in Russia it went. There’s no excuse for not knowing that.”

If it was a hack, Binney revealed, the NSA would know who the sender and recipients of the data are, thanks to mass internet surveillance programs. The intelligence apparatus does not depend on “circumstantial evidence,” as has been reported to point to Russia.

Disobedient Media reported at the time that “the very small proportion of files eventually selected for use in the creation of the “NGP-VAN” files were later published by the creators of the Guccifer 2.0 persona. This point is especially significant, as it suggests the possibility that up to 90% of the information initially copied was never published.”

Lawrence wrote that these revelations “split the DNC case open like a coconut.”

In April, we reported on Carter’s findings that alleged Democratic National Committee hacker and WikiLeaks source Guccifer 2.0 deliberately planted fake Russian fingerprints on documents linked to his persona.

Carter believes that this demonstrates that Guccifer 2.0 was actually a misdirection effort by people working for the Democratic Party, to have the upcoming WikiLeaks release blamed on

Russian hackers — and therefore discredited. Speaking to Big League Politics, Carter referred to the persona as a “donkey in a bear costume.”

Seth Conrad Rich (January 3, 1989 – July 10, 2016)

“Evidence of flight is evidence of guilt” Mullaby D. Radcliffe